GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF ELDERLY AFFAIRS STRATEGIC PLAN FY 2011-2012 THROUGH FY 2016-2016

Mr. Paul Colomb, J.D. Appointing Authority 525 Florida Street 4th Floor Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70801 **VISION**: Louisiana will be a desirable and satisfying place to age.

MISSION: To serve as the focal point for the development, implementation, and administration of the public policy for the state of Louisiana, and address the needs of the state's elderly citizens.

PHILOSOPHY: The Governor=s Office of Elderly Affairs as the sole state agency as directed by the Governor and Legislature is committed to: *Advocating* for the needs and rights of all older Louisianans. *Improving* the quality of life of our older citizens by encouraging and providing the means to achieve active, healthy, independent lives. *Building* partnerships with communities, organizations, agencies, families, and individuals to ensure the availability and accessibility of a continuum of service for all older Louisianans. *Promoting* public awareness and education about the aging process, trends in the aging of current older population, and projections for future generations of older persons. *Supporting* intergenerational activities, which foster mutual understanding and support, shared values, and personal responsibility. *Intervention* in the exploitation and abuse of elderly Louisianans.

AGENCY GOAL:

To serve as an effective visible advocate of the elderly by ensuring appropriate services are provided by the aging network in Louisiana

ADMINISTRATION PROGRAM:

Authority LSA R.S. 46:931 LSA R.S. 46:935 LSA R.S. 46:936

State Outcome Goal Link: Better Health

Safe and Thriving Children and Families

Public Safety

MISSION: To create a team who respects diversity and dignity of the elderly Louisianan by developing and promoting teamwork among the staff.

GOALS:

I. To oversee the management and provide training to the staff of Governor's Office of Elderly Affairs and the aging network.

II. To serve as an effective and visible advocate for the elderly of the state of Louisiana and provide leadership, direction and coordination in the delivery of services to the elderly population in Louisiana.

OBJECTIVE: I.1: To maintain baseline of 202 training hours to agency staff and agencies who provide service to the elderly annually ending on June 30 each year.

STRATEGY I. 1. 1 To increase the staff productivity and knowledge of Governor=s
Office of Elderly Affairs and aging network

STRATEGY I. 1. 2 Provide training in the computerization of the reporting and financial data

STRATEGY I. 1. 3 Provide training topics that are diverse and applicable which prepares staff, agents and volunteers with the necessary skills to provide timely services to the senior population

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS:

Input: Base line FY 2008-09, 202 hours of training made available to staff and

service providers and aging service counterparts through conferences/training

approved or provided by Governor=s Office of Elderly Affairs

Output: Number of employees in Governor=s Office of Elderly Affairs

/contractors and other agencies providing services to seniors who received

training.

Outcome: Increase in the number of diverse training programs provided to

staff/contractors

Percentage of staff/contractors, and aging network employees who

benefited from training received from GOEA

Efficiency: Cost of training per employee/contractor

Quality: An evaluation tool to measure customer satisfaction at the end of each

training session with a target of 90% of the participants rating the training

as satisfactory or above

OBJECTIVE: I. 2: Develop a workforce plan and system to prepare for the retirement of tenured employees by June 30, 2016

STRATEGY I 2. 1 Identify agency positions currently filled with employees who are

eligible to retire within five years. Identify employees interested

in

and eligible for promotion.

STRATEGY I 2. 2 Develop plans to cross-train employees using motivational

strategies such as Rewards and Recognition policy or cross

training

which will enhance qualifications for promotional opportunities.

STRATEGY I 2.3 Train managers and supervisors in development of procedure

manuals which can be used to teach the essential functions of a

position.

STRATEGY I 2.4 Identify CPTP Class which would enhance the skill-set of

employees who are interested in promotional opportunities.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS:

Input: Number of employees within 5 years of eligible retirement

Number of employees willing to participate in cross training

Output: Number of participants in cross-training and /or CPTP training classes

Number of Procedure Manual sections completed

Outcome Percentage of employees who feel better equipped for promotional

opportunities

OBJECTIVE: I. 3: To maintain a centralized database which provides timely accurate reports by service to the state and the Administration on Aging quarterly at the end of each federal fiscal year ending September 30 annually.

STRATEGY II. 1. 1 To provide the facilitation, coordination and collaboration on aging issues that cross department boundaries

STRATEGY II. 1. 2 Create excellence in program services and provide leadership in continual development of services to the older Louisianans

Provide accurate and timely data to stakeholders and government STRATEGY II 1. 3 officials.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS:

Input: Utilization of subcommittees to determine which services are being provided

and by whom

Output: Number of service providers in the aging network

Outcome: Determine enhancement and time needed to complete services

To reduce duplication of service across agencies Efficiency:

OBJECTIVE: II. 1 To provide 43,000 seniors and disabled adults 21 and older with access to prescription medication and other needed resources by June 30, 2016

STRATEGY II 1. 1 Publicize the availability of services through the use of the

web page Louisiana Answers

STRATEGY II 1. 2 Follow up with callers regarding request

STRATEGY II 1.3 Request aging network put a link on their web page to Louisiana

Answers

STRATEGY II 1.4 Keep web page updated regarding providers of service

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS:

Input: Number of clients served through the Senior Rx and ADRC

programs

Percent of clients who received assistance with prescription Output

medication

Outcome Amount of savings on prescription medication received by clients.

Elderly Protective Services Sub Program:

Authority

L.R.S. 14:403.2

42 U.S.C. 3058I

State Outcome Goals Link: Safe and Thriving Children and Families

Public Safety

MISSION: To protect citizens aged sixty (60) and above from abuse, neglect and or exploitation.

GOAL I:

To prevent, remedy, and investigate the reports of abuse, neglect and exploitation of vulnerable elderly.

OBJECTIVE I.1: To respond to reports of abuse, neglect and exploitation within the given priority levels; High Priority – 8 working hours, Medium Priority – 72 working hours, Low Priority – after all High and Medium priorities have been investigated.

STRATEGY I. 1. 1 All EPS reports will be entered in the EPS secured database

STRATEGY I 1.2 All accepted cases will be assigned a priority and assigned to an investigator.

STRATEGY I. 1. 3 Review the computer generated reports from the EPS database

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS:

Inputs: Number of reports received
Output: Number of reports investigated

Outcome: Number of reports received by priority level

Percentage of cases investigated which resulted in a successful resolution for

a

senior

Quality: Random review of case records show that policy guidelines have been met

OBJECTIVE II.1 To investigate all accepted EPS reports of abuse, neglect and exploitation within the 45-day time frame.

STRATEGY I .2. 1 All EPS regional supervisors will verify the 45-day investigation period on the Case Activity Log

STRATEGY I 2. 2 Investigated decisions are made on all cases within 45 calendar days.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Input Number of reports accepted

Output Number of reports in compliance with the 45-day time frame

Number of reports that are noncompliant with the 45-day period

Outcome 80% of all reports accepted have been investigated within the 45 day time

period

Quality Random review of case records reveals that policy guidelines are met.

FEDERAL OLDER AMERICANS ACT PROGRAM Title III B, C, D, & E, Title V, Title VII, and Nutrition Program

Authority

42 U.S.C. 3021

42 U.S.C. 3025

42 U.S.C. 3026 (a)(4)

42 U.S.C. 3027 (a)(9)

42 U.S.C. 3027 (a)(13)

42 U.S.C. 3027 (f)

42 U.S.C. 3071

EXECUTIVE ORDER 80-16

State Outcome Goals Link: Better Health

MISSION: Leading Louisiana in serving older individuals.

GOAL I.

To provide for the delivery of supportive and nutritional services to at least 10% of older individuals to enable them to live dignified, independent, and productive lives in appropriate settings. (Using the most current census data)

OBJECTIVE I.1: To oversee the area agencies on aging to ensure they comply with GOEA policies and procedures by June 30, 2016.

STRATEGY I. 1. 1 Monitor area plans and/ or amendments at the end of each fiscal year

STRATEGY I. 1. 2 Quarterly, monitor the delivery of supportive and nutrition services under approved contracts with area agencies on aging

STRATEGY I. 1. 3 Quarterly, notify the area agency on aging's governing board of any discrepancy noted during monitoring and the required corrective action

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS:

Input: Number of recipients receiving service from the Home and Community-

Based program

Output: Number of units of service provided to eligible participants by service

received

Outcome: Percentage of elderly population served

Efficiency: Total unduplicated count of persons served for registered services under the

Older Americans Act

OBJECTIVE II.1: To require area agencies to target the state's older individuals with the greatest economic or social need, with particular attention to low-income minority individuals, for services by June 30, 2025 and annually thereafter

STRATEGY I. 2. 1 Issue Technical Assistance Memorandum(s) to area agencies on aging regarding any changes in policy

STRATEGY I. 2. 2 Annually monitor the delivery of registered services to targeted population by the Older American Act 2020 Title III service providers

STRATEGY I. 2. 3 Provide technical assistance through trainings with staff and boards governing the agencies and any service providers

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS:

Input: Baseline of services currently provided by the area agency on aging

Output: Number of clients served for each service

Outcome: Unduplicated count of persons served by minority and rural status and

poverty level

Efficiency: Percentage of low-income persons served as compared to the number of

elderly persons by service area

Title V

Authority 42 U.S.C. 3056

State Outcome Goals Link: Diversified Economic Growth

GOAL I:

To serve the low-income elderly of Louisiana, age 55 and older, by providing meaningful part-time employment opportunities, enhance community involvement, and promote individual self-sufficiency.

OBJECTIVE I. 1: The Governor's Office of Elderly Affairs will meet the United States Department of Labor Entered Employment goal of 36.9% of authorized slots by June 30, 2016.

STRATEGY I. 1. 1 Coordinate activities with One-Stop Career Centers by encouraging older individuals to seek the services offered by the centers

STRATEGY I. 1. 2 Increase the skills of program participants by offering at least one opportunity for occupational training by utilizing services available through Workforce Investment Act (WIA)

STRATEGY I. 1. 3 Secure consultants and other sources to provide training to contractors to enhance their unsubsidized placements

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS:

Inputs: Number of authorized positions in the Title V program

Outputs: Number of persons actually enrolled in Title V program annually

Outcome: Number of persons placed in unsubsidized employment

Percentage of Title V workers placed in unsubsidized employment

Efficiency Number of persons served compared to the authorized positions

Title VII Elder Rights Protection

Authority

LSA R.S. 40:2010.2

LTCO 42 U.S.C. 3021(c) LTC 42 U.S.C. 3027 (a)(12)

ELDER RIGHTS
42 U.S.C. 3058j
LEGAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM
42 U.S.C. 3027 (a)
LEGAL ASSISTANCE DEVELOPER 42 U.S.C. 3027 (a)(18)
STATE LTC OMBUDSMAN
LSARS 40:2010.1 et seq

State Outcome Goals Link: Better Health

GOAL I:

To promote the rights and well-being of residents of Louisiana=s Long-Term Care facilities and empower residents, their families and communities to participate in the actions and decision-making that affect their daily lives.

OBJECTIVE I .1 To resolve complaints brought by or on behalf of residents of long-term care facilities monthly.

- STRATEGY I. 1. 1 Provide and approve continuing educational training for certified personnel
- STRATEGY I. 1. 2 Arrange for legal advice and consultation for ombudsmen in interpreting the laws and regulations pertaining to residents' rights and requirements for nursing facilities
- STRATEGY I. 1. 3 Provide technical assistance to ombudsmen as needed in resolving complaints

rev 6/2010

STRATEGY I 1.4 Provide in-service to nursing facilities and conduct or participate in

community education events

STRATEGY I 1.5 Promote culture change efforts with providers and current and

potential consumers

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS:

Inputs: Number of complaints received

Number of in-service hours

Outcome Number of complaints resolved

Quality: Random review of case records for effectiveness and compliance

OBJECTIVE II .1: To ensure client access to ombudsmen services in all Louisiana licensed nursing homes, visits will be made by certified Ombudsmen monthly.

STRATEGY I. 2. 1 Require regular visitation of facilities by paid staff and volunteers

STRATEGY I. 2. 2 Require a minimum FTE in each region

STRATEGY I. 2. 3 Hold certification training as necessary to ensure minimal FTE

requirements

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS:

Inputs: Number of nursing homes visited monthly

Outputs: Number of certified ombudsmen

Outcomes: Percentage, averaged quarterly, of nursing homes visited monthly

PARISH COUNCILS ON AGING PROGRAM

Authority

LSA R.S. 46:1601 et seq

State Outcome Goals Link: Better Health

MISSION: To provide support services to the elderly population by parish council on aging.

GOAL I.

To ensure that parish council on aging operates in compliance with state laws and the Office of Elderly Affairs Policy and Procedures.

OBJECTIVE I.1: To provide technical support to parish council on aging's board and staff to ensure that each parish council on aging are governed in accordance with sound management principles by the end of each fiscal year.

STRATEGY I .1 .1 To enforce policies governing the use of state funds appropriated to parish voluntary councils on aging in accordance with R.S. 46:1606

STRATEGY I .1 .2 Provide contingency training to voluntary parish councils on aging board members regarding the parish council on aging statutory functions and responsibilities

STRATEGY I. 1. 3 Provide technical support by GOEA staff on a as needed or requested basis

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS:

Inputs: Annual review of 64 board rosters

Number of training sessions held

Outputs: Number of board and staff trained.

Outcomes: Increase in the number of requests for training

Percentage of seniors with high nutritional risk served through the

nutrition program

Quality: Participant evaluation were satisfaction or above

SENIOR CENTER PROGRAM

Authority LSA R.S. 46:932(14) LSA R.S. 46:1608

STATE OUTCOME GOALS LINK: Better Health

MISSION: To provide facilities where older persons in each parish can receive supportive services and participate in activities that foster their independence, enhance their dignity, and encourage involvement in and with the community.

GOAL I.

To provide facilities, throughout the state, where older individuals can meet and receive a variety of services on a local level.

OBJECTIVE I.1: To assess state funded senior centers for compliance with Office of Elderly Affairs policy by June 30, 2016.

STRATEGY I. 1. 1 Issue a self-assessment instrument to senior centers

STRATEGY I. 1. 2 Evaluate the results of the senior centers self-assessment instrument

STRATEGY I. 1. 3 Issue a final report on states funded senior centers

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS:

Inputs: Baseline number of the senior centers that operate in the state
Outputs: Number of contracts awarded annually for senior center operation

Number of older individuals receiving services in state-funded senior centers

Outcomes: Services provided in senior centers throughout the state

Percentage of seniors who participate in the congregate meal program

Efficiency: Senior centers will deliver services in designated areas

Program: ADMINISTRATION PROGRAM

Objective I. 1: To maintain baseline of 202 training hours to the agency staff and agencies that provide service to the elderly annually ending on June 30 each year.

Indicator Name: Base line FY 2008-09, 202 hours of training made available to staff and service providers through conferences/training approved by Governor=s Office of Elderly Affairs

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: 348

1. Type and Level: Input and Key

2. Rationale: To have employees/contractors trained in areas that will benefit the job duties of each employee or contractor

3. Use: Help to budget the need for training which is provided to the aging network annually and regionally

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Data collected from rosters at the end of each training and report on a quarterly basis the number of training and hours provided for the participants

7. Calculation Methodology: Tabulate hours from training rosters

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: Cost of each training that would include the travel and maintenance of each participant, trainer presentation cost, and material. Also included in training is staff attending external training and then the cost would include the maintenance for each participant and registration cost.

10. Responsible person: Each program manager who provides training is responsible for collecting the data and turning this information into the training coordinator.

rev 6/2010

Program ADMINISTRATION PROGRAM

Objective I. 1: To maintain baseline of 202 training hours to the agency staff and agencies that provide service to the elderly annually ending on June 30 each year.

Indicator Name: Number of employees in Office of Elderly Affairs /contractors who

received training

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: 6165

1. Type and Level: Output and supportive

- **2. Rationale:** Is the number of employees trained adequate to the number of employees that provide service.
- **3.** Use: Reviewing the number of trained persons in comparison to the changes in the agency's policy or any new rules
- 4. Clarity: Not Applicable
- **5.** Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator.
- **6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:** Sign in list and evaluation will be collected at the end of each training held. The results are then turned are reported in each appropriate indicator to OPB.
- **7.** Calculation Methodology: Determine what percentage of agencies/organizations received training from the total number of agencies eligible to send participants.
- 8. Scope: None
- **9.** Caveats: Cost may be a determining factor in what type of training is offered and who can attend.
- **10. Responsible person:** Each program manager who provides training is responsible for collecting the data and turning this information into the training coordinator.

Program: ADMINISTRATION PROGRAM

Objective I. 1: To maintain baseline of 202 training hours to the agency staff and agencies that provide service to the elderly annually ending on June 30 each year.

Indicator Name: Increase in the number of diverse training programs provided to staff/contractors

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: 6166

1. Type and Level: Outcome and supportive

2. Rationale: To have more informed trained staff and service providers

3. Use: To provide a variety of training topics that is pertinent to the services provided to the community.

4. Clarity: Not applicable

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Sign in list and evaluation will be collected at the end of each training held. The results are then turned are reported in each appropriate indicator to OPB.

7. Calculation Methodology: Not applicable

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: Not Applicable

10. Responsible person: Agency Management Team

Program: ADMINISTRATION PROGRAM

Objective I. 1: To maintain baseline of 202 training hours to the agency staff and agencies that provide service to the elderly annually ending on June 30 each year.

Indicator Name: Percentage of staff, contractors, and aging network employees who benefited from training from GOEA

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

2. Type and Level: Outcome and Key

2. Rationale: To provide substantial and meaningful training

3. Use: To provide a variety of training topics that is pertinent to the services provided to the community.

4. Clarity: Not applicable

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Sign in list and evaluation will be collected at the end of each training held. The results are then turned are reported in each appropriate indicator to OPB.

7. Calculation Methodology: Not applicable

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: Not Applicable

10. Responsible person: Agency Management Team

Program: ADMINISTRATION PROGRAM

Objective I. 1: To maintain baseline of 202 training hours to the agency staff and agencies that provide service to the elderly annually ending on June 30 each year.

Indicator Name: Cost of training per employee/contractor

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Efficiency and general performance information

2. Rationale: To determine if the training is cost effective

3. Use: To insure that the training is cost effective

4. Clarity: Not Applicable

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: To review all the training completed annually and review the cost associated with delivery of all trainings to determine an average cost.

7. Calculation Methodology: Divide number of participants into the total cost of each training session.

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: The program director who set up the training

Program: ADMINISTRATION PROGRAM

Objective I. 1: To maintain baseline of 202 training hours to the agency staff and agencies that provide service to the elderly annually ending on June 30 each year.

Indicator Name: An evaluation tool will measure customer satisfaction at the end of each training session with 90% of the participants rating the training as satisfactory or above.

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: 6167

1. Type and Level: Quality and Supportive

2. Rationale: To determine if the training provided meet the need of the participant.

3. Use: To ensure that the training is meeting the needs of the audience and is presented in an organized manner.

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Review the evaluation tool that each participant completes and compile the results.

7. Calculation Methodology: Tabulate each training evaluation on an overall rating of satisfied and above.

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Unit manager responsible for the training

Program: ADMINISTRATION PROGRAM

Objective I. 2: Develop a workforce plan and system to prepare for the retirement of tenured employees by June 30, 2016

Indicator Name: Number of employees within 5 years of eligible retirement

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Input and general performance

2. Rationale: To keep the knowledge of the tenured employees after they retire

3. Use: To help management recognize the need to retain the expertise of tenured employees

4. Clarity: Yes

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This is a new indicator and has not been tested

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: The use of personal records to obtain information on age and seniority

7. Calculation Methodology: Summation

8. Scope: This idea is civil service plan in retaining an informed workforce

9. Caveats: Everything depends on the willingness of employees to be trained and remain within the same work environment.

10. Responsible person: Human Resource Department, Vikki Riggle, 225 342-7100, vikkiriggle@goea.la.gov

Program: ADMINISTRATION PROGRAM

Objective I. 2: Develop a workforce plan and system to prepare for the retirement of tenured employees by June 30, 2016

Indicator Name: Number of employees willing to participate in cross training

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Input and general performance

2. Rationale: To prepare for the retirement of experience employees

1. Use: For management to encourage employees to receive additional training to become qualified positions in the agency

2. Clarity: Yes

3. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This is a new indicator and has not been tested

4. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Correspondence employees submit to supervisors on interest in certain positions

5. Calculation Methodology: Summation

6. Scope: This will only relate to our agency's staff

7. Caveats: This will depend on the response of current staff

8. Responsible person: Human Resource Department, Victoria Riggle, 225 342-7100, vikkiriggle@goea.la.gov

Program: ADMINISTRATION PROGRAM

Objective I. 2: Develop a workforce plan and system to prepare for the retirement of tenured employees by June 30, 2016

Indicator Name: Number of participants in cross training and /or CPTP training

classes

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Output and general performance

2. Rationale: To prepare for the retirement of experience employees

3. Use: To maintain staff interest in learning a new job

4. Clarity: Yes

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This is a new indicator and has not been tested

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Any training records and staff feedback

7. Calculation Methodology: Summation

8. Scope: Relates to staff

9. Caveats: The agency is small with limited job opportunities

10. Responsible person: Human Resource Department, Victoria Riggle, 225 342-7100, vikkiriggle@goea.la.gov

rev 6/2010

Program: ADMINISTRATION PROGRAM

Objective I. 2: Develop a workforce plan and system to prepare for the retirement of tenured employees by June 30, 2016

Indicator Name: Number of Procedure Manual sections completed.

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Output and general performance

2. Rationale: To prepare agency for the retirement of experience employees

3. Use: To be used in the training of existing staff or new hires

4. Clarity: Yes

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This is a new indicator and has not been tested

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Actual sections of the manual

7. Calculation Methodology: Manual

8. Scope: Relates duties of position

9. Caveats: The agency is small with limited job opportunities and the retirement or loss of an employee could impact the agency with orientation for a new staff member

10. Responsible person: Human Resource Department, Victoria Riggle, 225 342-7100, vikkiriggle@goea.la.gov

Program: ADMINISTRATION PROGRAM

Objective I. 2: Develop a workforce plan and system to prepare for the retirement of tenured employees by June 30, 2016

Indicator Name: Percentage of employees who feel better equipped for promotional opportunities

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Outcome and general performance

2. Rationale: To prepare for the retirement of experience employees

11. Use: To maintain the interest in learning a new job

12. Clarity: Yes

13. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This is a new indicator and has not been tested

14. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Any training records and feedback from cross trained employees

15. Calculation Methodology: Summation

16. Scope:

17. Caveats: The agency is small with limited job opportunities and cross trained employees would know if they wanted to apply for positions that open in the future

18. Responsible person: Human Resource Department, Victoria Riggle, 225 342-7100, wikkiriggle@goea.la.gov

Program: ADMINISTRATION PROGRAM

Objective I. 3: To maintain a centralized database that will provide timely accurate reports by service to the state quarterly and the Administration on Aging at the end of each federal fiscal year ending September 30 annually.

Indicator Name: Utilization of subcommittees to determine which services are being provided and by whom

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

- 1. Type and Level: Input & General performance information
- **2. Rationale:** To avoid duplication of services in order to use both public and private funds more efficiently.
- **3.** Use: To determine if the agency's resources are being channeled to programs needed by the public.
- 4. Clarity: Committees will report findings on a quarterly basis at a minimum
- **5.** Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator.
- **6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:** Using data that is collected monthly from service providers. Data is collected reviewed quarterly for compliance.
- 7. Calculation Methodology: Tallying survey results.
- 8. Scope: None
- **9.** Caveats: Locating organizations that influence and represent the community as a whole.
- **10. Responsible person:** . Compliance and Planning Manager, Margaret McGarity, 225 342-7100, mpmcgarity@goea.la.gov

Program: ADMINISTRATION PROGRAM

Objective I. 3.: To maintain a centralized database that will provide timely accurate reports by service to the state quarterly and the Administration on Aging at the end of each federal fiscal year ending September 30 annually

Indicator Name: Number of services providers in aging network

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Output and General performance information

2. Rationale: Output

3. Use: Reviews the resources used ion the area agencies regarding service delivery.

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Data collected is analyzed quarterly.

7. Calculation Methodology: Compiling status reports

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: Project will have expense of travel to compile the needed data

10. Responsible person: Compliance and Planning Manager, Margaret McGarity, 225 342-7100, mpmcgarity@goea.la.gov

Program: ADMINISTRATION PROGRAM

Objective I. 3.: To maintain a centralized database that will provide timely accurate reports by service to the state quarterly and the Administration on Aging at the end of each federal fiscal year ending September 30 annually

Indicator Name: Determine enhancement and time needed to complete services

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Outcome and General performance information

2. Rationale: To provide excellent services for seniors

3. Use: Not applicable

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Data collected is analyzed quarterly

7. Calculation Methodology: Tabulating survey results

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Compliance and Planning Manager, Margaret McGarity, 225 342-7100, mpmcgarity@goea.la.gov

Program: ADMINISTRATION PROGRAM

Objective I. 3.: To maintain a centralized database that will provide timely accurate reports by service to the state quarterly and the Administration on Aging at the end of each federal fiscal year ending September 30 annually

Indicator Name: To reduce duplication of service across agencies

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Efficiency and General performance information

2. Rationale: Services expense for future budgets

3. Use: To determine what services that are not mandated need to be added if funding is available

4. Clarity: *Services*: This can be tangible or intangible items that senior citizens need and these *services* are provided to seniors in communities by government and non-profit agencies.

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Services results are collected quarterly and reviewed annually regarding the community needs.

7. Calculation Methodology: Utilize public hearing results

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: It may be difficult to obtain information on all service providers

10. Responsible person: Compliance and Planning Manager, Margaret McGarity, 225 342-7100, mpmcgarity@goea.la.gov

Program: ADMINISTRATION PROGRAM

Objective II. 1.: To provide 43,000 seniors and disabled adults 21 and older with access to prescription medication and other needed resources by June 30, 2016

Indicator Name: Number of clients served through the Senior Rx and ADRC programs

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Input and Key

2. Rationale: To assist seniors and disabled adults with access to pharmaceutical company programs for free medication

3. Use: Assist citizens stay health with prescribed medications

4. Clarity: Services: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: Software is updated with the most current data

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Reports submitted quarterly to the Legislature

7. Calculation Methodology: Use a software program that calculates the cost of medication obtained

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: Cost of personal who assist with the application process

10. Responsible person: Program Manager Sharon Buchert 225 342-7100 sbbuchert@goea.la.gov

Program: ADMINISTRATION PROGRAM

Objective II. 1.: To provide 43,000 seniors and disabled adults 21 and older with access to prescription medication and other needed resources by June 30, 2016

Indicator Name: Percent of clients who received assistance with prescription

medication

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Outcome and supporting indicator

2. Rationale: To assist seniors and disabled adults with access to pharmaceutical company programs for free medication

3. Use: Provides data on number of seniors and disabled adults who would be unable to purchase prescribed medication

4. Clarity: Services: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: Software is updated with the most current data

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Reports submitted quarterly to the Legislature

7. Calculation Methodology: Use a software program that calculates the cost of medication obtained

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: Cost of personal to assist clients with applications

10. Responsible person: Program Manager Sharon Buchert 225 342-7100 sbbuchert@goea.la.gov

Program: ADMINISTRATION PROGRAM

Objective II. 1.: To provide 43,000 seniors and disabled adults 21 and older with access to prescription medication and other needed resources by June 30, 2016

Indicator Name: Amount of savings on prescription medication received by clients.

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Outcome and supporting indicator

2. Rationale: To assist seniors and disabled adults with access to pharmaceutical company programs for free medication

3. Use: Software is able to calculate the projected cost of the medication each client received

4. Clarity: Services: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: Software is updated with the most current data

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Reports submitted quarterly to the Legislature

7. Calculation Methodology: Use a software program that calculates the cost of medication obtained

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: Cost of personal assisting client and the software updates

10. Responsible person: Program Manager Sharon Buchert 225 342-7100 sbbuchert@goea.la.gov

Program: Elderly Protective Services Sub Program

Objective I.1: To respond to reports of abuse, neglect and exploitation within the given priority levels; High Priority – 8 working hours, Medium Priority – 72 working hours, Low Priority – after all high and medium priorities have been investigated.

Indicator Name: Number of reports received

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: 350

1. Type and Level: Input and Key

2. Rationale: Monitor the number of reports received monthly in each region.

3. Use: To review staffing in all regions, state and contract

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: Indicator reviewed by the auditor in 2001 & 2003 with no findings.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Daily log used by service is collected daily and reported monthly.

7. Calculation Methodology: Count the number of cases received

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Lutricia McDonald, Program Manager, 225 342-7100, lbmcdonaldn@goea.la.gov

Program: Elderly Protective Services Sub Program

Objective I. 1.: To respond to reports of abuse, neglect and exploitation within the given priority levels; High Priority – 8 working hours, Medium Priority – 72 working hours, Low Priority – after all high and medium priorities have been investigated.

Indicator Name: Number of reports investigated

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: 351

1. Type and Level: Output and Key

2. Rationale: To review the number of reports that investigated by region

3. Use: To review staffing in regions

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: Indicator reviewed by the auditor in 2001 & 2003 with no findings.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Reports are collected and tabulated on a monthly basis.

7. Calculation Methodology: Count the number of investigations that were conducted each month

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Lutricia McDonald, Program Manager, 225 342-7100, lbmcdonaldn@goea.la.gov

Program: Elderly Protective Services Sub Program

Objective I. 1.: To respond to reports of abuse, neglect and exploitation within the given priority levels; High Priority – 8 working hours, Medium Priority – 72 working hours, Low Priority – after all high and medium priorities have been investigated.

Indicator Name: Percentage of cases investigated which resulted in a successful resolution for a senior

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: 14083

1. Type and Level: Outcome and Key

2. Rationale: Review closed cases regarding the success of placement services

3. Use: Review cases in each region

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: Not tested

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Review closed case files regarding what services were put in place to resolve the issue which resulted in a report being filed.

7. Calculation Methodology: Count each priority level case and review closed cases

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Lutricia McDonald, Program Manager, 225 342-7100, lbmcdonaldn@goea.la.gov

Program: Elderly Protective Services Sub Program

Objective I. 1: To respond to reports of abuse, neglect and exploitation within the given priority levels; High Priority – 8 working hours, Medium Priority – 72 working hours, Low Priority – after all high and medium priorities have been investigated.

Indicator Name: Random review of case records reveals that policy guidelines have

been met

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Quality general performance

2. Rationale: To ensure that the same quality of service is being delivered statewide

3. Use: To ensure that procedures and rules are being followed.

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: Indicator reviewed by the auditor in 2001 & 2003 with no findings.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: All EPS reports which are collected monthly.

7. Calculation Methodology: Review case records

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Lutricia McDonald, Program Manager, 225 342-7100,

lbmcdonaldn@goea.la.gov

Program: Elderly Protective Services Sub Program

Objective II. 1.: To investigate all accepted EPS reports of abuse, neglect and exploitation within the 45-day time frame.

Indicator Name: Number of reports accepted

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Input and general performance

2. Rationale: To review by region the number of reports accepted compared to the number of reports received by region

3. Use: Review of unaccepted cases to determine the reason they did not meet the guidelines

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: Indicator reviewed by the auditor in 2001 & 2003 with no findings.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Monthly reports

7. Calculation Methodology: Count the number of reports accepted

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Lutricia McDonald, Program Manager, 225 342-7100, lbmcdonaldn@goea.la.gov

Program: Elderly Protective Services Sub Program

Objective II. 1: To investigate all accepted EPS reports of abuse, neglect and exploitation within the 45-day time frame.

Indicator Name: Number of reports in compliance with the 45-day time frame

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Output and general performance

2. Rationale: To monitor each region according to the established time frame

3. Use: To ensure that procedures and rules are followed in each regional office.

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: Indicator reviewed by the auditor in 2001 & 2003 with no findings.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Data is collected by regions and sent monthly to the state office.

7. Calculation Methodology: Count the number of cases that are within the 45-day time frame.

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Lutricia McDonald, Program Manager, 225 342-7100, lbmcdonaldn@goea.la.gov

Program: Elderly Protective Services Sub Program

Objective II. 1: To investigate all accepted EPS reports of abuse, neglect and exploitation within the 45-day time frame.

Indicator Name: Number of reports that are noncompliant with the 45-day time frame

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Output and general performance

2. Rationale: To see if regions are staffed according to the number of investigations that is being requested

3. Use: To ensure that all rules and procedures are followed.

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: Indicator reviewed by the auditor in 2001 & 2003 with no findings.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Data is collected from call logs and case records, which is reported monthly.

7. Calculation Methodology: Timeline

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Lutricia McDonald, Program Manager, 225 342-7100, lbmcdonaldn@goea.la.gov

Program: Elderly Protective Services Sub Program

Objective II. 1: To investigate all accepted EPS reports of abuse, neglect and exploitation within the 45-day time frame.

Indicator Name: 80% of all reports accepted have been investigated with the 45 day

time frame

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Outcome and general performance

2. Rationale: Monitor cases for the 45-day time regulation

3. Use: To ensure that all rules and procedures are followed

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: Indicator reviewed by the auditor in 2001 & 2003 with no findings.

6. 'Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Data is collected monthly using the EPS-7 Activity Sheet which is reported to the State office.

7. Calculation Methodology: Figure the percentages of all cases by region

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: EPS Regional Supervisors, and Lutricia McDonald, Program Manager, 225 342-7100, lbmcdonaldn@goea.la.gov

Program: Elderly Protective Services Sub Program

Objective II. 1: To investigate all accepted EPS reports of abuse, neglect and exploitation within the 45-day time frame.

Indicator Name: Random review of case records reveals that policy guidelines are met

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Quality and general performance

2. Rationale: To provide quality assurance to clients served

3. Use: Ensures that rules and procedures are been followed.

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: Indicator reviewed by the auditor in 2001 & 2003 with no findings.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Data is collected on the EPS-7 Activity Sheet

7. Calculation Methodology: Weekly

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: EPS Regional Supervisors and Lutricia McDonald, Program Manager, 225 342-7100, lbmcdonaldn@goea.la.gov

Program: Title III, B, C, D, & E, Title V, Title VII, & Nutrition

Objective: I.1. To oversee the area agencies on aging to ensure compliance with GOEA policies and procedures by June 30, 2016

Indicator Name: Number of recipient receiving service from the Home and Community-Based program

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: 360

1. Type and Level: Input and Key

2. Rationale: To determine the number of elderly that is receiving services

3. Use: Gives a basis to compare services provided by the area agencies on aging

4. Clarity: *NAPIS* stands for National Aging Program Information, which is a report generated annually and required by the Administration on Aging.

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This indicator was audited in 2001 with the finding of "insufficient on-site monitoring" and in 2003 this finding was determined to be resolved by the Legislative Auditor.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Data collection source is the NAPIS report which is collected quarterly

7. Calculation Methodology: Adding all clients receiving services

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Compliance and Planning Manager, Margaret McGarity, 225 342-7100, mpmcgarity@goea.la.gov

Program: Title III, B, C, D, & E, Title V, Title VII, & Nutrition

Objective: I.1. To oversee the area agencies on aging to ensure compliance with GOEA policies and procedures by June 30, 2016

Indicator Name: Number of units of service provided to eligible participants by service

received

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Output and general performance

2. Rationale: To determine the average of services provided to senior citizens

3. Use: Gives a baseline of units of service to determine if services increase or decline over a span of time.

4. Clarity: *NAPIS* stands for the National Aging Program Information which is a report that is generated annually and submitted to the Administration on Aging.

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This indicator was audited in 2001 with the finding of "insufficient on-site monitoring" and in 2003, this finding was determined to be resolved by the Legislative Auditor.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Data collection source is the NAPIS report which is collected quarterly

7. Calculation Methodology: Statistic retrieved from the Napis report

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Home and Community Based Services Unit, Manager, Beverly Armstead, bharmstead@goea.la.gov

Program: Title III, B, C, D, & E, Title V, Title VII, & Nutrition

Objective: I.1. To oversee the area agencies on aging to ensure compliance with

GOEA policies and procedures by June 30, 2016

Indicator Name: Percentage of elderly population served

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: 6168

1. Type and Level: Outcome and Key

2. Rationale: To see what percent of elderly are being served in the state

3. Use: To compare the percentage of services our network provides compared to other southern states.

4. Clarity: *NAPIS* stands for National Aging Program Information, which is a report that is generated annually and submitted to the Administration on Aging

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This indicator was audited in 2001 with the finding of "insufficient on-site monitoring" and in 2003; this finding was determined to be resolved by the Legislative Auditor

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Data collection source is the NAPIS report, which is collected quarterly

7. Calculation Methodology: Utilize the NAPIS report for the figures

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Compliance and Planning Manager, Margaret McGarity, 225 342-7100, mpmcgarity@goea.la.gov

Program: Title III, B, C, D, & E, Title V, Title VII, & Nutrition

Objective: I.1. To oversee the area agencies on aging to ensure compliance with GOEA policies and procedures by June 30, 2016

Indicator Name: Total unduplicated count of persons served for registered services under the Older Americans Act

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: 6173

1. Type and Level: Efficiency and Supportive

2. Rationale: To determine the number of services provided to each person

3. Use: Compare the number of clients receiving services compared to the 60+ state population

4. Clarity: Data collected monthly and quarterly reports are reviewed and data is submitted to AOA annually.

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This indicator was audited in 2001 with the finding of "insufficient on-site monitoring" and in 2003; this finding was determined to be resolved by the Legislative Auditor

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Data collection source is the NAPIS report, which is collected quarterly and totaled annually.

7. Calculation Methodology: Retrieve unduplicated figures from each report to obtain state count of unduplicated figures.

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person. Home and Community Based Services Unit, Manager, Beverly Armstead, bharmstead@goea.la.gov

Program: Title III, B, C, D, & E, Title V, Title VII, & Nutrition

Objective: II.1. To require area agencies to target the state's older individuals with the greatest economic or social need, with particular attention to low-income minority individuals, for services by June 30, 2025 and thereafter.

Indicator Name: Baseline of services currently being provided by the area agency on aging

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Input and general performance

2. Rationale: To track any additional services provided

3. Use: Ensures that resources are targeted in the right area

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This indicator was audited in 2001 with the finding of "insufficient on-site monitoring" and in 2003; this finding was determined to be resolved by the Legislative Auditor

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Check monthly reports for services delivered by providers.

7. Calculation Methodology: Tabulate reports submitted by all Area Agencies on Aging

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Home and Community Based Services Unit, Manager, Beverly Armstead

Program: Title III, B, C, D, & E, Title V, Title VII, & Nutrition

Objective: II.1. To require area agencies to target the state's older individuals with the greatest economic or social need, with particular attention to low-income minority individuals, for services by June 30, 2025 and thereafter.

Indicator Name: Number of client served for each service

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Output and general performance

2. Rationale: To compare the number of seniors which receive services to the number of seniors residing in the state.

3. Use: To be able to compare the number of clients receiving

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This indicator was audited in 2001 with the finding of "insufficient on-site monitoring" and in 2003 this finding was determined to be resolved by the Legislative Auditor.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Data is collected in the NAPIS report and reported annually.

7. Calculation Methodology: Compare target individuals to the number of individuals served

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Home and Community Based Services Unit, Manager, Beverly Armstead, bharmstead@goea.la.gov

Program: Title III, B, C, D, & E, Title V, Title VII, & Nutrition

Objective: II.1. To require area agencies to target the state's older individuals with the greatest economic or social need, with particular attention to low-income minority individuals, for services by June 30, 2025 and thereafter.

Indicator Name: Unduplicated count of persons served by minority and rural status and poverty level

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Outcome and general performance

2. Rationale: To compare the services to the number below poverty according to the census

3. Use: to review if the area agencies on aging are servicing the top priority population.

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This indicator was audited in 2001 with the finding of "insufficient on-site monitoring" and in 2003, this finding was determined to be resolved by the Legislative Auditor.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Data collection source is the NAPIS report which is reported annually.

7. Calculation Methodology: None

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Home and Community Based Services Unit, Manager, Beverly Armstead, bharmstead@goea.la.gov

Program: Title III, B, C, D, & E, Title V, Title VII, & Nutrition

Objective: II.1. To require area agencies to target the state's older individuals with the greatest economic or social need, with particular attention to low-income minority individuals, for services by June 30, 2025 and thereafter.

Indicator Name: Percentage of low-income persons served as compared to the number of elderly persons by service area

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Efficiency and general performance

2. Rationale: To determine if more outreach in the communities is needed.

3. Use: To review if the area agency on aging services the priority population

4. Clarity: To review if the area agencies on aging services the top priority population

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This indicator was audited in 2001 with the finding of "insufficient on-site monitoring" and in 2003 this finding was determined to be resolved by the Legislative Auditor

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Data is collected monthly and reviewed quarterly.

7. Calculation Methodology: Review reports

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Home and Community Based Services Unit, Manager, Beverly Armstead, bharmstead@goea.la.gov

Program: Title V

Objective: I.1.: The Governor's Office of Elderly Affairs will meet the United States Department of Labor Entered Employment goal of 36.9% of authorized slots by June 30, 2016.

Indicator Name: Number of authorized positions in the Title V program

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: 14085

1. Type and Level: Input and Key

2. Rationale: To verify the number of persons that is in the title V Program

3. Use: These indicators are set by Federal guidelines

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Data is complied with the job placement data and is reviewed annually.

7. Calculation Methodology: Summation

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Program manager, Rosemary Davis 225 342-7100, Rkdavis@goea.la.gov

rkuavis@goca.ia.gov

Program: Title V

Objective: I.1.: The Governor's Office of Elderly Affairs will meet the United States Department of Labor Entered Employment goal of 36.9% of authorized slots by June 30, 2016.

Indicator Name: Number of persons actually enrolled in the Title V program annually

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: 365

1. Type and Level: Output and Key

2. Rationale: How many elders benefit from this program

3. Use: these indicators are set by Federal guidelines

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Data is collected from contractors and compared to the Department of Labor employment statistic

7. Calculation Methodology: Analysis

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: Time to comply the information needed

10. Responsible person: Program manager, Rosemary Davis 225 342-7100,

Rkdavis@goea.la.gov

Program: Title V

Objective: I.1.: The Governor's Office of Elderly Affairs will meet the United States Department of Labor Entered Employment goal of 36.9% of authorized slots by June 30, 2016.

Indicator Name: Number of persons placed in unsubsidized employment

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: 366

1. Type and Level: Outcome and Key

2. Rationale: To have more informed trained staff

3. Use: To provide a variety of training topics that is pertinent to the services that are provided to the community

4. Clarity: Not Applicable

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Data is collected and reported quarterly.

7. Calculation Methodology: Not Applicable

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: Not Applicable

10. Responsible person: Program manager, Rosemary Davis 225 342-7100,

Rkdavis@goea.la.gov

Program: Title V

Objective: I.1.: The Governor's Office of Elderly Affairs will meet the United States Department of Labor Entered Employment goal of 36.9% of authorized slots by June 30, 2016.

Indicator Name: Percentage of Title V workers placed in unsubsidized employment

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

2. Type and Level: Outcome and Key

2. Rationale: To have informed trained workers

3. Use: To provide a variety of training topics that is pertinent to the services that are provided to the community

4. Clarity: Not Applicable

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Data is collected and reported quarterly.

7. Calculation Methodology: Not Applicable

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: Not Applicable

10. Responsible person: Program manager, Rosemary Davis 225 342-7100,

Rkdavis@goea.la.gov

Program: Title V

Objective: I.1 The Governor's Office of Elderly Affairs will meet the United States Department of Labor Entered Employment goal of 36.9% of authorized slots by June 30, 2016.

Indicator Name: Number of persons served compared to the authorized positions

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Efficiency and general performance

2. Rationale: To show a need for this service

3. Use: These indicators are set by Federal Guidelines.

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Data is collected from the annual report.

7. Calculation Methodology: Compare the numbers of positions to those who have been served

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Program manager, Rosemary Davis 225 342-7100,

Rkdavis@goea.la.gov

Program: Title VII Elder Rights Protection (Ombudsman Program)

Objective: I.1. To resolve complaints brought by or on behalf of residents of long-term care facilities monthly.

Indicator Name Number of complaints received

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: 370

1. Type and Level: Input and general performance

2. Rationale: This indicates the demand for services

3. Use: Determine if families of persons in nursing homes and board and care facilities are reviewing the publicity submitted by the Ombudsman region..

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Reports collected by regional ombudsman and reported monthly.

7. Calculation Methodology: Summation

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: State Ombudsman, Linda Sadden, 225 342-7100, lasadden@goea.la.gov

Program: Title VII Elder Rights Protection (Ombudsman Program)

Objective: 1.1. To resolve complaints brought by or on behalf of residents of long-

term care facilities monthly

Indicator Name: Number of in-service hours

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Output and general performance

2. Rationale: To keep Ombudsman trained and updated on any changes in procedures

3. Use: To ensure that funds are budgeted in an adequate amount to keep the field current with any changes

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Data is collected from sign in sheets at the training and reported with the monthly report.

7. Calculation Methodology: Summation

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: State Ombudsmen, Linda Sadden, 225 342-7100,

lasadden@goea.la.gov

Program: Title VII Elder Rights Protection (Ombudsman Program)

Objective: I.1. To resolve complaints brought by or on behalf of residents of long-term care facilities monthly

Indicator Name: Number of complaints resolved

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: 14086

1. Type and Level: Outcome and general performance

2. Rationale: This measures the effectiveness of the service since a complaint is only considered resolved when it is resolved to the satisfaction of the client.

3. Use: Helps verify that the necessary training was provided.

4. Clarity: By definition, complaints are resolved to the satisfaction of the client

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Data is collected by regional ombudsman and turned in to the State Office. On a monthly basis.

7. Calculation Methodology: Summation

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: State Ombudsman, Linda Sadden, 225 342-7100, lasadden@goea.la.gov

Program: Title VII Elder Rights Protection (Ombudsman Program)

Objective: I.1. To resolve complaints brought by or on behalf of residents of long-term care facilities monthly

Indicator Name: Random review of cases record for timeliness and effectiveness and compliance

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Quality and general performance

- **2. Rationale:** Monitoring of case records will ensure accurate reporting and effectiveness in the service
- 2. Use: Helps verify that the necessary training was provided
- **3.** Clarity: Case records are maintained on an on-gong basis. Monitoring is conducted quarterly or more frequent if necessary.
- **5.** Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator.
- **6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:** Data is the case records maintained by ombudsmen and this is maintained on an ongoing basis and monitored annually.
- **7.** Calculation Methodology: A sample of cases will be collected from the field and examined by the state office for timeliness and quality.
- **8. Scope:** An average of response time will be calculated. A dichotomous scale will indicate effectiveness: Acceptable or Unacceptable.
- 9. Caveats: None
- **10. Responsible person:** State Ombudsman , Linda Sadden, 225 342-7100, lasadden@goea.la.gov

Program: Title VII Elder Rights Protection (Ombudsman Program)

Objective: II.1 To ensure client access to ombudsmen services in all Louisiana licensed nursing homes, visits will be made by certified Ombudsmen monthly.

Indicator Name: Number of nursing homes visited monthly

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: 21342

1. Type and Level: Output and supporting

2. Rationale: Provides a monitoring tool to use with the Ombudsman regional contracts.

3. Use: Reports will be reviewed to determine that each nursing facility in each region is visited according to the contract.

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: Reports will be reviewed and records will be checked on visits to regions. This indicator is new and has not been reviewed by the Legislative Auditor.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Ombudsman regions turn in monthly reports to the state office giving the name of the facility, date, and time visited.

7. Calculation Methodology: To tabulate monthly reports

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: State Ombudsman, Linda Sadden, 225 342-7100, lasadden@goea.la.gov

Program: Title VII Elder Rights Protection (Ombudsman Program)

Objective: II.1. To ensure client access to ombudsmen services in all Louisiana licensed nursing homes, visits will be made by certified Ombudsmen monthly

Indicator Name: Number of certified ombudsmen

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Output and general performance

2. Rationale: Determines when recruitment for the program is needed in order to keep the proper ration of Ombudsman to the number of facilities.

3. Use: Helps to determine if more training and recruitment is needed to have adequate Ombudsman coverage.

4. Clarity: Not applicable

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Data collected by state office and used to set up training sessions as needed.

7. Calculation Methodology: Summation

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: State Ombudsman, Linda Sadden, 225 342-7100, lasadden@goea.la.gov

Program: Title VII Elder Rights Protection (Ombudsman Program)

Objective: II.1. To ensure client access to ombudsmen services in all Louisiana licensed nursing homes, visits will be made by certified Ombudsmen monthly

Indicator Name: Percentage, averaged quarterly, of nursing homes visited monthly

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: 21345

1. Type and Level: Outcome and supporting indicator

2. Rationale: A visual for the state office

3. Use: .Used in monitor the regional Ombudsman contracts

4. Clarity: None

- **5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy:** Reports will be reviewed and records will be checked on visits to regions. This indicator is new and has not been reviewed by the Legislative Auditor.
- **6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:** Reports will be received monthly and complied into a quarterly report.
- 7. Calculation Methodology: Reports are turned monthly and reviewed for
- 8. Scope: None
- **9.** Caveats: There should not be any limitation of this indicator unless there is a staff turnover in one or more of the regions.
- **10. Responsible person:** State Ombudsman, Linda Sadden, 225 342-7100, lasadden@goea.la.gov

Program: Parish Councils on Aging

Objective: I.2. To provide technical support to parish council on aging's board and staff to ensure that parish council on aging are governed in accordance with sound management principles by the end of each fiscal year.

Indicator Name: Annual review of 64 board rosters

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Input and general performance

2. Rationale: To ensure that councils are following the policy guidelines on board membership

3. Use: To ensure that GOEA's policy is being followed.

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Board rosters are requested after each annual meeting

7. Calculation Methodology: Review reports submitted by councils

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Program Monitors in Home and Community Based Services, 225 342-7100 (Individual varies according to parish)

Program: Parish Councils on Aging

Objective: I.1. To provide technical support to parish council on aging's board and staff to ensure that parish council on aging are governed in accordance with sound management principles by the end of each fiscal

Indicator Name: Number of training sessions held

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Input and general performance

2. Rationale: To ensure that members of the Council on Aging Boards are knowledgeable on their responsibilities

3. Use: If a problem with process or policy is detected in, the aging network the training scheduled will be reviewed to see if an increase of training is needed.

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Rosters are collected after each training/orientation sessions

7. Calculation Methodology: N/A

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: Cost of training is the responsibility of each Council on Aging

10. Responsible person: Program Monitors in Home and Community Based Services, 225 342-7100 (Individual varies according to parish)

Program: Parish Council on Aging

Objective: I.1. To provide technical support to parish council on aging's board and staff to ensure that parish council on aging are governed in accordance with sound management principles by the end of each fiscal

Indicator Name: Number of board and staff trained

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. **Type and Level:** Output and general performance

2. Rationale: Training increases the productivity of staff and knowledge of board members assisting them in performing their respective duties

3. Use: Helps to ensure the information is given to the leadership of the aging network.

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Collect the roster at the end of each training.

7. Calculation Methodology: Summation

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Program Monitors in Home and Community Based Services, 225 342-7100 (Individual varies according to parish)

Program: Parish Council on Aging

Objective: I.1. To provide technical support to parish council on aging's board and staff to ensure that parish council on aging are governed in accordance with sound management principles by the end of each fiscal

Indicator Name: Increase in requested training

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Outcome and general performance

2. Rationale: Participants see the value of training

3. Use: N/A

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Request may vary but the data is analyzed annually.

7. Calculation Methodology: Summation

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Program Monitors in Home and Community Based Services, 225 342-7100 (Individual varies according to parish)

Program: Parish Council on Aging

Objective: I.1. To provide technical support to parish council on aging's board and staff to ensure that parish council on aging are governed in accordance with sound management principles by the end of each fiscal

Indicator Name: Percentage of seniors with high nutritional risk served through the nutrition program

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Outcome and general performance

2. Rationale: To reach as many seniors who are at nutritional risk

3. Use: Assist to evaluate the program and the goals of the parish council on aging

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program is reviewed on a quarterly basis.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Request may vary but the data is analyzed annually.

7. Calculation Methodology: Summation and using the SAMS software which can give reports by planning and service areas or a state report

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Program Monitors in Home and Community Based Services, 225 342-7100 (Individual varies according to parish)

Program: Parish Council on Aging

Objective: I.1. To provide technical support to parish council on aging's board and staff to ensure that parish council on aging are governed in accordance with sound management principles by the end of each fiscal

Indicator Name: Participant evaluation showed satisfaction or above

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Quality and general performance

2. Rationale: To determine if the information presented was usable in their work environment

3. Use: Monitors the effectiveness and usefulness of the training that was provided

4. Clarity: None

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Survey data is collected at the conclusion of each training.

7. Calculation Methodology: Summation

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Program Monitors in Home and Community Based Services, 225 342-7100 (Individual varies according to parish)

Program: Senior Center Program

Objective: I.1. To assess state funded senior centers for compliance with the Office of Elderly Affairs policy by June 30, 2016.

Indicator Name: Baseline of the senior centers that operate in the state

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: 398

1. Type and Level: Input and Key

2. Rationale: To set guidelines for any additional senior centers

3. Use: Gives a baseline number of senior centers in Louisiana to determine how many other locations are needed based on population and need.

4. Clarity: Senior Center is a place where seniors in the community can receive a meal, socialize, and participate in other activities.

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Data is collected annually

7. Calculation Methodology: N/A

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Home and Community Based Services Unit, Manager, Beverly Armstead, bharmstead@goea.la.gov

Program: Senior Center Program

Objective: I.1. To assess state funded senior centers for compliance with the Office of Elderly Affairs by June 30, 2016.

Indicator Name: Number of contracts awarded annually for senior center operation

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Output and general performance

2. Rationale: How does this compare to the number of centers that are approved

3. Use: To help determine if new contracts are needed for senior centers and the location of other senior centers in the area.

4. Clarity: Senior Center is a place where seniors in the community can receive a meal, socialize and participate in other activities.

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Data is collected from reports annually.

7. Calculation Methodology: N/A

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Home and Community Based Services Unit, Manager, Beverly Armstead, bharmstead@goea.la.gov

Program: Senior Center Program

Objective: .I.1. To assess state funded senior centers for compliance with the Office of Elderly Affairs policy by June 30, 2016

Indicator Name: Number of older individuals receiving services in state funded senior

centers

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Output and General performance information

2. Rationale: Are the senior centers strategically placed in their geographic region? Does the area have large concentrations of elderly according to the most recent census data?

3. Use: Review the number of senior citizens that are served in centers to assess if the location of the center meets the needs of the senior community.

4. Clarity: Senior Center is a place where seniors in the community can receive a meal, socialize, and participate in other activities.

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Data is collected through the annual report and compared to the most recent census data.

7. Calculation Methodology: Tabulate the number of senior citizens who receive service from a Senior Center.

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Home and Community Based Services Unit, Manager, Beverly Armstead, bharmstead@goea.la.gov

Program: Senior Center Program

Objective: I.1. To assess state funded senior centers for compliance with the Office of Elderly Affairs policy by June 30, 2016.

Indicator Name: Services provided in senior centers throughout the state

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: 6177

1. Type and Level: Outcome and Key

2. Rationale: Which areas seem to have a greater need for more senior centers

3. Use: To review the types of services that is provided in senior centers.

4. Clarity: *Senior Center* is a place where seniors in the community can receive a meal, socialize, and participate in other activities.

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Data is collected annually

7. Calculation Methodology: Tabulation

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Home and Community Based Services Unit, Manager, Beverly Armstead, bharmstead@goea.la.gov

rev 6/2010

Program: Senior Center Program

Objective: I.1. To assess stated funded senior centers for compliance with the Office Of Elderly Affairs policy by June 30, 2016.

Indicator Name: Percentage of seniors who participate in the congregate meal programs

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

1. Type and Level: Outcome and General performance information

2. Rationale: For the elder community to be able to reach areas where they may receive service and not travel long distances.

3. Use: To assure that the senior centers are in locations where senior citizens reside.

4. Clarity: *Senior centers* are places where seniors in the community can receive a meal, socialize and participate in other activities.

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Data is collected annually.

7. Calculation Methodology: N/A

8. Scope: None

9. Caveats: None

10. Responsible person: Home and Community Based Services Unit, Manager, Beverly Armstead, bharmstead@goea.la.gov

Program: Senior Center Program

Objective: I.1. To assess stated funded senior centers for compliance with the Office Of Elderly Affairs policy by June 30, 2016.

Indicator Name: Senior centers will deliver services in designated areas

Indicator LaPAS PI Code: New

- 1. Type and Level: Efficiency and General performance information
- **2. Rationale:** For the elder community to be able to reach areas where they may receive service and not travel long distances.
- **3.** Use: To assure that the senior centers are in locations where senior citizens reside.
- **4. Clarity:** *Senior centers* are places where seniors in the community can receive a meal, socialize and participate in other activities.
- **5.** Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: This program was audited in 2001 and again in 2003 and there were no findings relative to this objective and indicator
- **6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:** Data is collected annually.
- 7. Calculation Methodology: N/A
- 8. Scope: None
- 9. Caveats: None
- **10. Responsible person:** Home and Community Based Services Unit, Manager, Beverly Armstead, bharmstead@goea.la.gov

APPENDIX

rev 6/2010

72

APPENDIX:

A brief statement on each program their principle clients and users and the specific service or benefit that they derive.

Administration Program:

The administration program is responsible for the overall operation of the agency and is financially responsible for the sub program, Elderly Protective Services (EPS). Stakeholders in the Administration Program include the agency staff, Louisiana Executive Board on Aging (LEBA). Board members, parish council on aging staff, their board of directors, area agency on aging staff and their board of directors, service recipients and their families. Additional stakeholders include the Governor, Legislators and their constituents. Stakeholders benefit from an informed and trained council on aging staff, which can provide comprehensive and appropriate services to clients in the most expedient and cost efficient manner.

Stakeholders for the EPS sub program are the elderly at risk, residents of medical facilities, nursing homes patients, and their families. Additional stakeholders for EPS are medical personnel, social workers, EPS staff, community leaders, clergy, law enforcement and government officials. EPS is responsible for investigating reports of abuse on citizens age 60 plus regarding allegations of abuse, neglect, and/or exploitation. This includes the 60 plus client who is suspected of self abuse. EPS receives reports of abuse from the public, investigates the allegations, and helps arrange for appropriate services for the client.

Federal Older American' Act Program Title III B, C, D, & E Title V, Title VII, and Nutrition

The Administration on Aging (AOA) appropriates federal funds to the state for services to elderly citizens. These federal funds are distributed based on a formula approved by the Administration on Aging, and state funds are distributed as dictated by state law. Stakeholders for this program are the parish council on aging, area agency on aging, the elderly population, their families, friends, local governments and agency staff. The elderly population is identified by their need and they may reside in rural areas. They may have economic and/or social need, limited income, disabled; suffer from Alzheimer, or other related medical disorders. Additional stakeholders are attorneys who fulfill legal services, recipients of home delivered meals, congregate meal sites or recipients of services such as homemaker, chore, or transportation.

The National Family Caregiver Support Program (NFCSP) assists caregivers who are caring for persons over 60 years old or grandparents who have responsibility for children under the age of 18. The NFCSP provides educational services, support groups, personal care attendants, respite care, and some material aid items. NFCSP assist the caregiver to continue to care for their spouse, or relative so the elder may remain in the environment of their choice.

Recipients for most of the services must be over the age of 60; however, recipients of the Senior Employment Program only need to be over 55 years of age and have an income at or below poverty. The senior employment program gives senior citizens the opportunity to receive training, and on the

73

rev 6/2010

job experience which will assist them o return to the workforce.

Elder Rights (ombudsman) stakeholders are nursing home residents and their families, concerned individuals in the community, nursing home staff, social workers, and ombudsman staff. The ombudsman program receives, investigates and acts on complaints from nursing home residents their families', facility staff or anyone else on behalf of the resident.

Program monitors evaluate all programs to verify the services delivered meet the needs of the consumer. Recipients of service also provide feedback through public hearings and need surveys. Services needs are addressed in communities from the stakeholders.

Parish Councils on Aging

The Governor's Office of Elderly Affairs provides an allotment to parish councils on aging based on a statue which provides funding to supplement programs/services or administrative costs. Councils on aging are located in each parish and are charted by the state to advocate for seniors in their parish. They also provide contracted services through an area agency on aging. Some of the councils receive a local tax mileage or a designated sales tax as another source of revenue to assist with programs for the parish's elderly population. Stakeholders for this program are recipients of service, families of the elderly who receive service, parish government, and employees of the council. The councils are responsible for being an advocate for the elderly in their parish. They network with service providers to ensure the needs of the elderly are being met in the community.

Senior Center Program

The National Council on the Aging defines a senior center as:

A community focal point on aging where older persons as individuals or in groups come together for services and activities that enhance their dignity, support their independence and encourage their involvement in and with the community.

In Louisiana, we have 139 senior center sites which offer not only services but a place where seniors came come and socialize. This helps to keep the senior healthy, informed, and active in the community. We are seeing more seniors live longer and the senior centers provide an excellent location for an exchange of ideas. This facility helps in providing activities to stimulate their interest and promote independence.

Stakeholders of the Senior Center Program are the elderly who participate in the programs, their families, and local governments, parish councils on aging, the community, state lawmakers, the governor and the staff of the Office of Elderly Affairs.

An identification of potential external factors that are beyond the control of the entity and that could significantly affect the achievement of its goals or objectives

Internal Assessment

The Governor's Office of Elderly Affairs is an agency in the Executive Office that plans for various federal grants and state funding to regional and local contractors and ensures the accountability for those funds through contract management. This office also provides direct service to clients through elderly protective services, which has four regional offices and three contract offices. The eligibility for the programs with Elderly Affairs is for persons 60 and older except with the Title V Senior Employment Program where the age minimum age is 55. Targeted groups are the frail, low income, minority, rural, victims of abuse and those who demonstrate the greatest social or economic need.

Internal strength can be found in the office and one example is the Adult Disabled Resource Centers, which began with grant funding. These centers assist seniors with applications for many types of service, which includes the prescription program through drug companies. Our office is a mission priority of the Governor, we are a small agile agency, and we are dedicated to providing good information and training for our contractors. The programs, which are funded through our agency, are essential and provide meals to those who may not have the funds for food, home services for seniors who are unable to leave their home and protective service to those who may be abused or exploited.

External Assessment

Eligibility to the programs provided by the Governor's Office of Elderly Affairs is age based not income based. We must look at our present elderly population and their needs when we review projections of the population that will reach age 60 in the next ten years. Louisiana and other states have started to see a growth in our aging population and the strain it has had on providing more services to a diverse group of aging people. We must also look at longevity, income, and health of the aging population in assessing the services that we are currently providing through state providers. We must look at what services seniors need and request when we look at future services.

When reviewing the external opportunities and threats of the agency we see leveling of funding both state and federal. How will the Census 2010 affect the council on aging who receive their state funds based on a 60 plus population? The "Baby Boomers" will have an impact on number of seniors looking for services, and making the funding stretch for all the needs. The internet for the "Baby Boomers" will keep them connected with the aging network, advised of available services, and provide opportunities for training.

Workforce Commission

The Office of Elderly Affairs Program B, Federal Older Americans Act Title V, Senior Employment Program, is a mandatory partner with the workforce development and provides employment and training for persons 55 and above which is part of the one-stop career center environment.

HUMAN RESOURCE POLICIES BENEFICIAL TO WOMEN AND FAMILIES

The Office of Elderly Affairs revised the Personnel Manual in September 2003 to provide employees the opportunity to choose a four-day workweek. The manual already supported flextime to allow all employees the flexibility to set a workday that was beneficial to their families.

Program A, Administrative, Objective 1 regarding training hours is linked to the four-day workweek or flex time which is the most appropriate for the staff to provide training to the aging network.

Program B, Title III, nutritional services is linked through the GOEA's Policy Manuel that defines the services the state's senior citizens can receive. Many of the recipients of these services are female.

Program B, Title V, job placement for persons 55 and older with limited economic resources. This objective is linked to the Human Resource Policy for Women as this program provides training and placement back into the workforce. The majority of the placements are women who had left the workforce and find themselves in need of employment to assist their families.

Demographics

The estimated Census 2028 data for Louisiana reports the population as 4,410,796, which is a slight decrease from the Census 2020 figures. The 60+ population is estimated as 17.2% (757,486) of the estimated Census 2028. By the year 2010, the "baby boomers" will reach the 60 age group and this group is projected to increase dramatically.

The estimated Census 2028 shows the 85+ making up 1.6% of the state's population which is the same percent as Census 2026. The increase in the aging population has an impact on family support structure, health care, aging services and there is a need for additional funds to meet the demand for services.

Service Duplication

The Federal Older American's Act mandates each state to designate a state agency to provide oversight of the federally funded programs for the elderly. Louisiana Act 1182 required the Governor's Office of Elderly Affairs to establish a State Task Force to review all services provided to the elderly by profit, nonprofit and government agencies to determine if services are being duplicated. The Task Force reported their findings to the Legislative in March 1999. This report helped guide the agency in making plans on programs for Louisiana's aging population.

Services are divided along programs that the Governor's Office of Elderly Affairs administers along program lines. Each program has distinct duties and responsibilities that other agency programs do not duplicate. The Office of the Legislative Auditor published a report, Analysis of Program Authority and Performance Data, dated September 7, 1998, that addresses the subject of service duplication. The auditor's overall findings regarding the Office of Elderly Affairs are found in Chapter 14 starting on page 165. In the Chapter Conclusions on page 165 paragraph three the auditor's states "There are no apparent overlapping or duplicative programs or functions identified within the office." No structural changes have taken place within the agency since this report was issued.

GOEA has applied for and received several grants. These grants have resulted in partnerships with other agencies or groups to provide services to seniors. The Aging & Disability Resource Centers (ADRC) keeps a database of services available for seniors and disabled adults 21 and older. ADRCs assist callers locate appropriate services to meet their needs. Service providers are updated on a regular basis to verify services are still provided and update client edibility for the service.

Background:

In 1956, Act 479 created the Louisiana Commission on the Aging with the approval of Governor Earl K. Long. The Governor appointed twelve members to the Commission who had an interest and knowledge of the problems of aging. "Their responsibility was to collect facts and statistics and make special duties concerning the employment, health, financial status, recreation, social adjustment, and other conditions affecting the welfare of the aging people of the state." In 1965, Older Americans Act gave the Commission some initial funding to hire staff and make grants to localities for small aging programs. In 1969, federal amendments of the Older Americans Act expanded the state's mandate making the state agency on aging responsible for planning, coordinating and evaluating programs for older people at the state level.

In the 1970's small state agencies were consolidated creating large departments. This consolidation placed the Commission under the Department of Health and Human Resources. The Commission now became known as Bureau of Aging Services (BOAS). In 1973, Comprehensive Services Amendments to the Older Americans Act called for the state agency on aging to strengthen services to the elderly. This amendment set three service delivery systems for older people, Administration on Aging at a Federal level, State Agency on Aging at the State level and Area Agencies on Aging at the sub-state level. The Governor divided the state into eight planning districts and BOAS called these eight districts "planning and service area." In 1979, Act 206 created and established the Office of Elderly Affairs (GOEA) in the Office of the Governor.

In 1980, Governor David Treen signed Executive Order 80-16 authorizing GOEA to designate planning and service areas to coincide with the sixty-four parishes. This led to the state agency on aging designating all sixty-four (64) parishes as planning and service areas including the Council on Aging in their respective parish. The Councils on Aging challenged this action and settled out of court. This court settlement led to a compromise that resulted in two of the original Area Agencies on Aging keeping their designation as multi parish agencies and others opted to become single parish AAAs. Currently Louisiana has thirty-six planning and service area providers that include thirty-two single parishes and four planning and service area that cover from six to ten parishes.

Many services, which we currently provide to the state's senior citizens, are funded with Federal grants and matching state funds. Currently GOEA has four (4) programs and one (1) sub program that oversee services for citizens over the age of 60.

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR MATRIX Program: Administration Date: GOAL I: To oversee the management of and provide training to the staff of Governor's Office of Elderly Affairs and the aging network INPUT **OUTPUT** OUTCOME **EFFICIENCY** QUALITY Performance Standard Objective I.1: Baseline FY Number Cost of Increase in the An evaluation tool To maintain baseline of 202 training hours to the agency staff 2008-09 202 training per of number of used at the end of and agencies who provide services to the elderly annually hrs of training employee diverse employee/ each training ending on June 30 each year. made available training session with 90% contractor s in Governor' to staff and programs that of the participants s Office are provided rating the training service as satisfactory or providers of Elderly to staff/ through Affairs/ better contractors conferences/ contractor training s and approved by other Governor's agencies Office of providing **Elderly Affairs** services to seniors who received training Percentage of staff/contracto rs, and aging network employees who benefited from training received from

GOEA

Objective I. 2: Develop a workforce plan and system to prepare for the retirement of tenured employees by June 30, 2016	Number of employees within 5 years of eligible retirement	Number of participan ts in cross-training and /or CPTP training	Percentage of employees who feel better equipped for promotional opportunities		
	Number of employees willing to participate in cross training	Number of Procedure Manual sections completed			
Objective I.3. The Governor's Office of Elderly Affairs will develop a centralized database that will provide timely and accurate reports by type and cost of services and make these reports available to the state and the Administration on Aging quarterly and annually respectively.	Utilization of subcommittees to determine which services are being provided and by whom	Number of services providers in the aging network	Determine enhancement and time needed to complete services	To reduce duplication of service across agencies	

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR MATRIX							
Program: Administration				Date:			
GOAL II: To serve as an effective and visible advocate for the elderly of the state of Louisiana and provide leadership, direction and coordination in the delivery of services to the elderly population in Louisiana							
Performance Standard	INPUT	OUTPUT	OUTCOME	EFFICIENCY	QUALITY		
Objective II.1: To provide 43,000 seniors and disabled adults 21 and older with access to prescription medication and other needed resources by June 30, 2016	Number of clients served through the Senior Rx and ADRC programs	Percent of clients who received assistance with prescription medication	Amount of savings on prescription medication received by clients				

PERFOR	MANCE IND	ICATOR MATE	RIX				
Program: Administration					Date:		
Sub Program: Elderly Protective Services							
GOAL I: To prevent, remedy, and investigate the reports of abuse, neglect and exploitation of vulnerable elderly							
Performance	INPUT	OUTPUT	OUTCOME	EFFICIENCY	QUALITY		
Standard							
Objective I.1: To respond to reports of abuse, neglect and exploitation within the given priority levels; High Priority – 8 working hours, Medium Priority – 72 working hours, Low Priority – after all high and medium priorities have been investigated.	Number of reports received	Number of reports investigated	Number of reports received by priority level Percentage of		Random review of case records reveals that policy guidelines have been met.		
			cases investigated which resulted in a successful resolution for a senior				
Objective II.1: To investigate all accepted EPS reports of abuse, neglect and exploitation within the 45-day time frame.	Number of reports accepted	Number of reports in compliance with the 45-day time frame	80% of all reports accepted have been investigated within the 45 day time frame		Random review of case records reveals that policy guidelines are met		
		Number of reports that are noncompliant with the 45- day time frame					

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR MATRIX Program: Title III,B, C, D, & E, Title V, Title VII, & Nutrition Program Date: GOAL I: To provide for the delivery of supportive and nutritional services to at least 10% of older individuals to enable them to live dignified, independent, and productive lives in appropriate settings. (using the most current census data) Performance **INPUT OUTPUT OUTCOME** EFFICIENCY QUALITY Standard Objective I. 1. Percentage of Number of Number of Total To oversee the area agencies on aging to ensure compliance recipient units of elderly unduplicated with GOEA policies and procedures by June 30, 2016. count of receiving population service service provided to served persons served eligible for registered from the services under Home and participants by Community service the Older -Based received. Americans Act program Objective II.1: Baseline of Unduplicated Number of Percentage of To require area agencies to target the state's older individuals services clients served count of low-income with the greatest economic or social need, with particular currently for each persons served persons served attention to low-income minority individuals, for services by being service as compared to by minority June 30, 2025 and thereafter. provided and rural status the number of by the area and poverty elderly persons agency on level by service area aging

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR MATRIX Program: Title V Date: GOAL I: To serve the low-income elderly of Louisiana, age 55 and over, by providing meaningful part time employment opportunities, enhance community involvement and promote individual self-sufficiency. INPUT OUTCOME EFFICIENCY Performance **OUTPUT QUALITY** Standard Objective I.1: The Governor's Office of Elderly Affairs will Number of Number of Number of Number of meet the United States Department of Labor Entered authorized persons persons placed persons served compared to Employment goal of 36.9% of authorized slots by June 30, positions in actually in 2016. the Title V enrolled in unsubsidized the authorized Title V employment positions program program annually Percentage of Title V workers placed unsubsidized employment

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR MATRIX Program: Title VII Elder Rights Protection Date: GOAL I: To promote the rights and well being of residents of Louisiana's Long Term Care facilities and empower residents, their families and communities to participate more fully in the actions and decision-making that impact their daily lives. Performance INPUT OUTPUT OUTCOME EFFICIENCY QUALITY Standard Objective I.1: To resolve complaints brought by or on behalf Random review of Number of Number of of residents of long-term care facilities monthly case records for complaints complaints effectiveness and received resolved compliance Number of in-service hours Objective II.1: To ensure client access to ombudsmen services Number of Number of Percentage, in all Louisiana licensed nursing homes, visits will be made by nursing certified averaged certified Ombudsmen monthly homes ombudsmen quarterly, of visited nursing homes monthly visited monthly

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR MATRIX	
Program: Parish Councils on Aging Program	Date:

GOAL I: To ensure that parish council on aging operates in compliance with state laws and Office of Elderly Affairs Policy and Procedures.

Performance Standard	INPUT	OUTPUT	OUTCOME	EFFICIENCY	QUALITY
Objective I.1: To provide technical support to parish council on aging's board and staff to ensure that each parish council on aging are governed in accordance with sound management principles by the end of each fiscal year.	Annual review of 64 board rosters	Number of board and staff trained	Increase in the number of requests for training		Participant evaluation were satisfaction or above
	Number of training sessions held		Percentage of seniors with high nutritional risk served through the nutrition program		

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR MATRIX					
Program: Senior Center Program	Date:				

GOAL I.: To provide for facilities throughout the state where older persons can meet and receive a variety of services at a local level.

Performance Standard	INPUT	OUTPUT	OUTCOME	EFFICIENCY	QUALITY
Objective I.1: To assess state funded senior centers for compliance with Office of Elderly Affairs policy by June 30, 2016.	Baseline of the senior centers that operate in the state	Number of contracts awarded annually for senior center operation	Service provided in senior centers throughout the state	Senior centers will deliver services in designated areas	
		Number of older individuals receiving services in state funded senior centers	Percentage of seniors who participate in the congregate meal program		